

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/679,649	RAMAMURTHY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mirellys Jagan	2859	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Non-Final

 (1) Mirellys Jagan.

(3) _____.

 (2) Jenny Ko.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 9 March 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

-

Claims discussed:

-

Prior art documents discussed:

-

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted the applicant to propose an Examiner's Amendment to the claims in order to advance prosecution. However, an agreement was not reached.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)